

**COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA  
PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION**

<b>DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION,</b>	:	
<b>Petitioner,</b>	:	
	:	
	:	
v.	:	<b>DOCKET NO. DI-20-101</b>
	:	
<b>CHAD T. KEISER,</b>	:	
<b>Respondent.</b>	:	

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

This matter is before the Professional Standards and Practices Commission (“Commission”) on a Notice of Charges and Motion for Summary Judgment filed by the Department of Education (“Department”). After consideration of the record in this matter and the applicable law, the Commission finds that summary judgment in favor of the Department is appropriate and enters this Order as follows:

**BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

Chad T. Keiser (“Respondent”) holds an Instructional II certificate in the area of Music PK-12. Respondent also holds an Administrative certificate in the area of Principal K-12 and a Letter of Master’s Equivalency. At all relevant times, Respondent was employed by the Red Lion Area School District.

The Department initiated disciplinary proceedings against Respondent with the filing of a Notice of Charges on November 5, 2020. The Notice of Charges alleges that Respondent was convicted of Corruption of Minors. Certified copies of the pertinent court documents are attached to the Notice of Charges. The Notice of Charges also alleges that Respondent is guilty of sexual abuse or exploitation. Simultaneous with the filing of the Notice of Charges, the Department filed a Motion for Summary Judgment

requesting that the Commission enter summary judgment in its favor and revoke Respondent's certificates and employment eligibility based upon his conviction.

As required, the Department mailed copies of the Notice of Charges and Motion for Summary Judgment to Respondent at his last-known address. Respondent did not file an answer to either pleading.

The Commission heard oral argument at its regularly scheduled meeting on January 11, 2021. Respondent was not present.

### SUMMARY JUDGMENT STANDARD

Summary Judgment is appropriate only when, after examining the whole record in the light most favorable to the non-moving party, there is no genuine issue of material fact and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Snyder v. Department of Environmental Resources, 588 A.2d 1001 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1991).

### MATERIAL FACTS

The material facts are not in dispute.<sup>1</sup> On July 9, 2020, Respondent was convicted in the Court of Common Pleas of York County, Pennsylvania of Corruption of Minors, 18 Pa.C.S. § 6301(a)(1). The facts underlying Respondent's conviction are that he engaged in oral sex and sexual intercourse with a 17-year-old female student in 2006 while employed as an elementary music teacher and assistant band director.

### DISCUSSION

The Department seeks the revocation of Respondent's certificates and employment eligibility pursuant to section 9b(a)(2) of the Educator Discipline Act ("Act").

---

1. Since Respondent did not file a responsive pleading, the only facts considered by the Commission are those alleged in the Department's Notice of Charges, which are deemed admitted and incorporated herein by reference. See 22 Pa. Code § 233.115(c)(1); 1 Pa. Code § 35.37; See also Kinniry v. Professional Standards and Practices Commission, 678 A.2d 1230 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1996).

24 P.S. § 2070.9b(a)(2). That section mandates, in relevant part, that the Commission shall direct the Department to revoke the certificate and employment eligibility of an educator convicted of a crime set forth in section 111(e)(1) through (3) of the Public School Code of 1949 or a crime involving moral turpitude upon the filing of a certified copy of the verdict, judgment or sentence of the court with the Commission. Id.<sup>2</sup>

Here, the Department has presented the Commission with certified court records of Respondent's conviction for Corruption of Minors, which is a crime set forth in section 111(e)(1) of the Public School Code of 1949 and a crime involving moral turpitude *per se*. 24 P.S. § 1-111(e)(1); 22 Pa. Code § 237.9(c)(1). Therefore, the Commission must direct the Department to revoke Respondent's certificates and employment eligibility.<sup>3</sup> 24 P.S. § 2070.9b(a)(2); *See also* Bowalick v. Dep't. of Educ., 840 A.2d 519, 522 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2004) (revocation of a teaching certificate on summary judgment is appropriate upon proof of a conviction of a crime of moral turpitude); *citing* Kinniry v. Professional Stds. & Practices Comm'n, 678 A.2d 1230, 1234 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1996).

The Department also requests that the Commission enter a finding that Respondent is guilty of sexual abuse or exploitation. The import of a finding of sexual abuse or exploitation is that the Commission is permanently barred from reinstating the educator's certification and employment eligibility. 24 P.S. § 2070.16. Pursuant to section 1b of the Act, sexual abuse or exploitation shall have the meaning given to the

---

2. The term 'conviction' includes a plea of guilty or nolo contendere. 24 P.S. § 2070.9b(a)(2).

3. An appeal shall not operate as a stay if the grounds for discipline include sexual misconduct or sexual abuse or exploitation or if the discipline is imposed under section 9b. 24 P.S. § 2070.15. Therefore, the revocation of Respondent's certificates and employment eligibility will be effective immediately.

term by 23 Pa.C.S. Ch. 63 (relating to child protective services), which includes the following:

(1) The employment, use, persuasion, inducement, enticement or coercion of a child to engage in or assist another individual to engage in sexually explicit conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:

...

(iii) Actual or simulated sexual activity or nudity for the purpose of sexual stimulation or gratification of any individual.

24 P.S. § 2070.1b; 23 Pa.C.S. § 6303. The facts underlying Respondent's conviction are that he engaged in oral and vaginal sex with a 17-year-old female student. Such conduct falls squarely within the above definition. The Commission, therefore, finds that Respondent is guilty of sexual abuse or exploitation and permanently barred from serving as an educator in Pennsylvania.

### **ORDER**

AND NOW, this 17<sup>th</sup> day of February 2021, upon consideration of the Department of Education's Motion for Summary Judgment and the lack of response thereto, it is hereby ORDERED:

1. The Motion is granted.
2. Pursuant to 24 P.S. § 2070.9b(a)(2), the Department is directed to revoke Respondent's certificates and eligibility to be employed as a charter or cyber charter school staff member or a contracted educational provider staff member effective on the date of this Order.
3. Respondent is not eligible to be employed in a school entity in a position requiring certification or as a charter or cyber charter school staff member or

contracted educational provider staff member, or eligible for any certificate.

4. Respondent is guilty of sexual abuse or exploitation under 24 P.S. § 2070.1b and 23 Pa. C.S. § 6303.
5. Pursuant to 24 P.S. § 2070.16(b)(1), the Commission shall not reinstate Respondent's certificates and employment eligibility.

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS AND  
PRACTICES COMMISSION

By:



---

Myron Yoder  
Chairperson Pro Tempore



Attest:

---

Shane F. Crosby  
Executive Director

Date Mailed: February 17, 2021