

**COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA  
PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION**

<b>DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION,</b>	:	
<b>Petitioner,</b>	:	
	:	
	:	
v.	:	<b>DOCKET NO. DI-20-110</b>
	:	
<b>RICHARD E. ADSIT, JR.,</b>	:	
<b>Respondent.</b>	:	

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

This matter is before the Professional Standards and Practices Commission (“Commission”) on a Notice of Charges and Motion for Summary Judgment filed by the Department of Education (“Department”). After consideration of the record in this matter and the applicable law, the Commission finds that summary judgment in favor of the Department is appropriate and enters this Order as follows:

**BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

Richard E. Adsit, Jr. (“Respondent”) holds an Instructional I certificate in the area of Health & Physical Educ PK-12. The Department initiated disciplinary proceedings against Respondent with the filing of a Notice of Charges on December 7, 2020. The Notice of Charges alleges that Respondent was convicted of the Montana crimes of Sexual Assault and Sexual Intercourse without Consent. Certified copies of the pertinent court documents are attached to the Notice of Charges. The Notice of Charges also alleges that Respondent is guilty of sexual abuse or exploitation. Simultaneous with the filing of the Notice of Charges, the Department filed a Motion for Summary Judgment requesting that the Commission enter summary judgment in its favor and revoke Respondent’s certificate and employment eligibility based upon his

convictions.

As required, the Department mailed copies of the Notice of Charges and Motion for Summary Judgment to Respondent at his last-known address. Respondent did not file an answer to either pleading.

The Commission heard oral argument at its regularly scheduled meeting on January 11, 2021. Respondent was not present.

### SUMMARY JUDGMENT STANDARD

Summary Judgment is appropriate only when, after examining the whole record in the light most favorable to the non-moving party, there is no genuine issue of material fact and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Snyder v. Department of Environmental Resources, 588 A.2d 1001 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1991).

### MATERIAL FACTS

The material facts are not in dispute.<sup>1</sup> On August 24, 2020, Respondent was convicted in the Tenth Judicial District Court in Judith Basin County, Montana of two counts each of Sexual Assault, Mont. Code Ann. § 45-5-502, and Sexual Intercourse without Consent, Mont. Code Ann. § 45-5-503. The facts underlying Respondent's convictions are that he engaged in sexual contact with one of his 15-year-old female students from March to May 2012 while working as a teacher and coach at Geyser High School in Judith Basin County, Montana.

### DISCUSSION

---

1. Since Respondent did not file a responsive pleading, the only facts considered by the Commission are those alleged in the Department's Notice of Charges, which are deemed admitted and incorporated herein by reference. See 22 Pa. Code § 233.115(c)(1); 1 Pa. Code § 35.37; See also Kinniry v. Professional Standards and Practices Commission, 678 A.2d 1230 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1996).

The Department seeks the revocation of Respondent's certificate and employment eligibility pursuant to section 9b(a)(2) of the Educator Discipline Act ("Act"). 24 P.S. § 2070.9b(a)(2). That section mandates, in relevant part, that the Commission shall direct the Department to revoke the certificate and employment eligibility of an educator convicted of a crime set forth in section 111(e)(1) through (3) of the Public School Code of 1949 or a crime involving moral turpitude upon the filing of a certified copy of the verdict, judgment or sentence of the court with the Commission. Id.<sup>2</sup> Section 111(e)(3) of the Public School Code of 1949 includes crimes from another jurisdiction that are similar in nature to the Pennsylvania crimes listed in sections 111(e)(1) and (2). 24 P.S. § 1-111(e)(3). To determine whether an in-state offense and an out-of-state offense are similar in nature, the Commission carefully compares the elements of the two crimes in terms of the definition of the conduct or activity proscribed (the *actus reus*) and the requirements for culpability (the *mens rea*). The laws need not be identical to be similar; it is sufficient that the laws be nearly corresponding or have a general likeness. Com. v. Simpson, 294 A.2d 805 (Pa. Super. 1972). The Commission's purpose is to give the educator's conduct the same effect it would have if the conduct had occurred in Pennsylvania.

Here, the Department has presented the Commission with certified court records of Respondent's convictions for the Montana crimes of Sexual Assault and Sexual Intercourse without Consent. The Department contends that the Montana crime of Sexual Assault is similar in nature to the section 111(e)(1) crime of Indecent Assault, 18 Pa.C.S. § 3126(a)(1), (4)-(8). The Department further contends that the Montana crime

---

2. The term 'conviction' includes a plea of guilty or nolo contendere. 24 P.S. § 2070.9b(a)(2).

of Sexual Intercourse without Consent is similar in nature to the section 111(e)(1) crimes of Rape, 18 Pa.C.S. § 3121(a)(3)-(5), Statutory Sexual Assault, 18 Pa.C.S. § 3122.1, Involuntary Deviate Sexual Intercourse, 18 Pa.C.S. § 3123(a)(3)-(5), (7), Sexual Assault, 18 Pa.C.S. § 3124.1, Institutional Sexual Assault, 18 Pa.C.S. § 3124.2(a.2)(1), and Aggravated Indecent Assault, 18 Pa.C.S. § 3125(a)(1), (4)-(8). After carefully reviewing the elements of each offense, the Commission agrees. Therefore, we find that Respondent has been convicted of crimes set forth in section 111(e)(3) of the Public School Code of 1949.

The determination of whether a crime involves moral turpitude is based solely upon the elements of the crime. The facts underlying the charges are not relevant to the issue of moral turpitude. 22 Pa. Code § 237.9(b); Startzel v. Commonwealth, Department of Education, 652 A.2d 1005, 1007 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1989). The Montana crimes of Sexual Assault and Sexual Intercourse without Consent certainly require “an act of baseness, vileness or depravity” and conduct “contrary to the accepted and customary rule of right,” 22 Pa. Code § 237.9(a)(1), conduct “done knowingly contrary to justice, honesty or good morals,” 22 Pa. Code § 237.9(a)(2), and a “reprehensible state of mind or *mens rea*.” Bowalick v. Commonwealth, 840 A.2d 519, 523-24 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2004). Moreover, crimes set forth in section 111(e)(3) of the Public School Code of 1949 *per se* involve moral turpitude. 22 Pa. Code § 237.9(c). Therefore, we find that Respondent has been convicted of crimes of moral turpitude.

Because Respondent has been convicted of crimes set forth in section 111(e)(3) of the Public School Code of 1949 and crimes of moral turpitude, the Commission must direct the Department to revoke Respondent’s certificate and employment eligibility. 24

P.S. § 2070.9b(a)(2); See also Bowalick, 840 A.2d at 522 (revocation of a teaching certificate on summary judgment is appropriate upon proof of a conviction of a crime of moral turpitude); *citing* Kinniry v. Professional Stds. & Practices Comm'n, 678 A.2d 1230, 1234 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1996).<sup>3</sup>

The Department also requests that the Commission enter a finding that Respondent is guilty of sexual abuse or exploitation. The import of a finding of sexual abuse or exploitation is that the Commission is permanently barred from reinstating the educator's certification and employment eligibility. 24 P.S. § 2070.16. Pursuant to section 1b of the Act, sexual abuse or exploitation shall have the meaning given to the term by 23 Pa.C.S. Ch. 63 (relating to child protective services), which includes the following:

- (1) The employment, use, persuasion, inducement, enticement or coercion of a child to engage in or assist another individual to engage in sexually explicit conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
  - ...
  - (iii) Actual or simulated sexual activity or nudity for the purpose of sexual stimulation or gratification of any individual.
  - ...

24 Pa.C.S. § 6303. As noted above, Respondent engaged in multiple instances of sexual contact with a 15-year-old female student, including grabbing the victim's hand and moving it over his penis through his pants, rubbing the victim's genitals through her underwear, and digitally penetrating the victim's vagina. Such conduct falls squarely

---

3. An appeal shall not operate as a stay if the grounds for discipline include sexual misconduct or sexual abuse or exploitation or if the discipline is imposed under section 9b. 24 P.S. § 2070.15. Therefore, the revocation of Respondent's certificate and employment eligibility will be effective immediately.

within the above-definition. The Commission, therefore, finds that Respondent is guilty of sexual abuse or exploitation and permanently barred from serving as an educator in Pennsylvania.

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 17<sup>th</sup> day of February 2021, upon consideration of the Department of Education's Motion for Summary Judgment and the lack of response thereto, it is hereby ORDERED:

1. The Motion is granted.
2. Pursuant to 24 P.S. § 2070.9b(a)(2), the Department is directed to revoke Respondent's certificate and eligibility to be employed as a charter or cyber charter school staff member or a contracted educational provider staff member effective on the date of this Order.
3. Respondent is not eligible to be employed in a school entity in a position requiring certification or as a charter or cyber charter school staff member or contracted educational provider staff member, or eligible for any certificate.
4. Respondent is guilty of sexual abuse or exploitation under 24 P.S. § 2070.1b and 23 Pa. C.S. § 6303.
5. Pursuant to 24 P.S. § 2070.16(b)(1), the Commission shall not reinstate Respondent's certificate and employment eligibility.

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS AND  
PRACTICES COMMISSION

By: 

---

Myron Yoder  
Chairperson Pro Tempore



Attest: \_\_\_\_\_

Shane F. Crosby  
Executive Director

Date Mailed: February 17, 2021