

**COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA  
PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION**

<b>DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION,</b>	:	
<b>Petitioner,</b>	:	
	:	
	:	
<b>v.</b>	:	<b>DOCKET NO. DI-21-010</b>
	:	
<b>CHRISTOPHER L. LENKOWSKI,</b>	:	
<b>Respondent.</b>	:	

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

This matter is before the Professional Standards and Practices Commission (“Commission”) on a Notice of Charges and Motion for Summary Judgment filed by the Department of Education (“Department”). After consideration of the record in this matter and the applicable law, the Commission finds that summary judgment in favor of the Department is appropriate and enters this Order as follows:

**BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

Christopher L. Lenkowski (“Respondent”) currently holds an Instructional I certificate in the area of Health & Physical Education PK-12. The Department initiated disciplinary proceedings against Respondent with the filing of a Notice of Charges on January 28, 2021. The Notice of Charges alleges that Respondent was convicted of the Pennsylvania and New Hampshire crimes of Robbery, which the Department alleges are crimes of moral turpitude. Certified copies of the pertinent court documents are attached to the Notice of Charges. Simultaneous with the filing of the Notice of Charges, the Department filed a Motion for Summary Judgment requesting that the Commission enter summary judgment in its favor and revoke Respondent’s certificate and employment eligibility based upon his convictions.

As required, the Department mailed copies of the Notice of Charges and Motion for Summary Judgment to Respondent at his last-known address. Respondent did not file an answer to either pleading.

The Commission heard oral argument at its regularly scheduled meeting on March 15, 2021. Respondent was not present.

### SUMMARY JUDGMENT STANDARD

Summary Judgment is appropriate only when, after examining the whole record in the light most favorable to the non-moving party, there is no genuine issue of material fact and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Snyder v. Department of Environmental Resources, 588 A.2d 1001 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1991).

### MATERIAL FACTS

The material facts are not in dispute.<sup>1</sup> On January 31, 2017, Respondent was convicted in Chester County, Pennsylvania of Robbery, 18 Pa.C.S. § 3701(a)(1)(ii). On May 17, 2016, Respondent was convicted in Grafton County, New Hampshire of Robbery, R.S.A. 636:1. The facts underlying Respondent's convictions are that he robbed three pharmacies in Pennsylvania and New Hampshire, stealing cash, merchandise, and prescription drugs.

### DISCUSSION

The Department seeks the revocation of Respondent's certificate and employment eligibility pursuant to section 9b(a)(2) of the Educator Discipline Act ("Act"). 24 P.S. § 2070.9b(a)(2). That section mandates, in relevant part, that the Commission

---

1. Since Respondent did not file a responsive pleading, the only facts considered by the Commission are those alleged in the Department's Notice of Charges, which are deemed admitted and incorporated herein by reference. See 22 Pa. Code § 233.115(c)(1); 1 Pa. Code § 35.37; See also Kinniry v. Professional Standards and Practices Commission, 678 A.2d 1230 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1996).

shall direct the Department to revoke the certificate and employment eligibility of an educator convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude upon the filing of a certified copy of the verdict, judgment or sentence of the court with the Commission. Id.<sup>2</sup> The Commission's regulations define moral turpitude, in relevant part, as follows:

(a) *Definition.* Moral turpitude includes the following:

(1) That element of personal misconduct in the private and social duties which a person owes to his fellow human beings or to society in general, which characterizes the act done as an act of baseness, vileness or depravity, and contrary to the accepted and customary rule of right and duty between two human beings.

(2) Conduct done knowingly contrary to justice, honesty or good morals.

...

22 Pa. Code § 237.9. Similarly, the Commonwealth Court has defined moral turpitude as "anything done knowingly contrary to justice, honesty, or good morals." Gombach v. Department of State, Bureau of Comm'ns, Elections & Legislation, 692 A.2d 1127, 1130 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1997). A crime of moral turpitude requires a requires a reprehensible state of mind or *mens rea*. Bowalick v. Commonwealth, 840 A.2d 519, 523-24 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2004). Crimes in which fraud is an ingredient have always been regarded as involving moral turpitude. Moretti v. State Board of Pharmacy, 277 A.2d 516 (Pa. Cmwlth.1971); *citing* Jordan v. DeGeorge, 341 U.S. 223, 71 S.Ct. 703 (1951) (fraud is the touchstone of moral turpitude).

Here, the Department has presented the Commission with certified court records of Respondent's convictions for Robbery. The Department seeks a determination that

---

2. The term conviction includes a plea of guilty or nolo contendere. 24 P.S. § 2070.9b(a)(2).

these crimes involve moral turpitude. The Commission is required to make its assessment “based solely upon the elements of the crime.” 22 Pa. Code § 237.9(b); See *also Startzel v. Commonwealth, Department of Education*, 562 A.2d 1005, 1007 (Pa. Cmwlth.1989). The underlying facts or details of a conviction are not relevant to the issue of moral turpitude.

The Commission has previously examined the elements of the Pennsylvania crime of Robbery and determined that this crime constitutes a crime of moral turpitude. See *Dep’t of Educ. v. Lauer*, PSPC Docket No. DI-04-14; *Dep’t of Educ. v. Bender*, PSPC Docket No. DI-03-24. The New Hampshire crime of Robbery is defined, in relevant part, as follows:

- I. A person commits the offense of robbery if, in the course of committing a theft, he:
  - (a) Uses physical force on the person of another and such person is aware of such force; or
  - (b) Threatens another with or purposely puts him in fear of immediate use of physical force.
- II. An act shall be deemed “in the course of committing a theft” if it occurs in an attempt to commit theft, in an effort to retain the stolen property immediately after its taking, or in immediate flight after the attempt or commission.

N.H. RSA 636:1. Certainly, these crimes require conduct “contrary to the accepted and customary rule of right and duty,” 22 Pa. Code § 237.9(a)(1), and conduct “done knowingly contrary to justice, honesty or good morals,” 22 Pa. Code § 237.9(a)(2), and thus a “reprehensible state of mind or *mens rea*.” *Bowalick*, 840 A.2d at 524. Fraud is also an essential ingredient of these crimes. Therefore, the Commission concludes that Respondent has been convicted of crimes involving moral turpitude.

Because Respondent has been convicted of crimes of moral turpitude, the Commission must direct the Department to revoke Respondent's certificate and employment eligibility. 24 P.S. § 2070.9b(a)(2); *See also* Bowalick, 840 A.2d at 522 (revocation of a teaching certificate on summary judgment is appropriate upon proof of a conviction of a crime of moral turpitude); *citing* Kinniry v. Professional Stds. & Practices Comm'n, 678 A.2d 1230, 1234 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1996).<sup>3</sup>

### ORDER

AND NOW, this 16<sup>th</sup> day of April 2021, upon consideration of the Department of Education's Motion for Summary Judgment and the lack of response thereto, it is hereby ORDERED:

1. The Motion for Summary Judgment is Granted.
2. Pursuant to 24 P.S. § 2070.9b(a)(2), the Department is directed to revoke Respondent's certificate and eligibility to be employed as a charter or cyber charter school staff member or a contracted educational provider staff member effective on the date of this Order.
3. Respondent is not eligible to be employed in a school entity in a position requiring certification or as a charter or cyber charter school staff member or contracted educational provider staff member, or eligible for any certificate until his certificate and employment eligibility are reinstated in accordance with the Act.

---

3. An appeal of the Commission's adjudication will not delay the imposition of discipline if the discipline is imposed under section 9b of the Act. 24 P.S. § 2070.15. Therefore, the revocation of Respondent's certificate and employment eligibility will be effective immediately.

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS AND  
PRACTICES COMMISSION

By: 

---

Myron Yoder  
Chairperson Pro Tempore



Attest: \_\_\_\_\_  
Shane F. Crosby  
Executive Director

Date Mailed: April 16, 2021